

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)
dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)
sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted *pro hac vice*)
andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
Teuta Fani (admitted *pro hac vice*)
teutafani@quinnemanuel.com
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 705-7400
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com
Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com
Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)
crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com
Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705)
alyolson@quinnemanuel.com
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

Josef Ansorge (admitted *pro hac vice*)
josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com
Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)
tracygao@quinnemanuel.com
Carl Spilly (admitted *pro hac vice*)
carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com
1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
Washington D.C., 20005
Telephone: (202) 538-8000
Facsimile: (202) 538-8100

Jomaire Crawford (admitted *pro hac vice*)
jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)
jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Counsel for Defendant Google LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION

CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,
JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,
individually and on behalf of themselves and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

**DECLARATION OF JONATHAN TSE IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
PORTIONS OF PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST
FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
SANCTIONS (DKT. 510)**

Judge: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ

1 I, Jonathan Tse, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and an attorney at Quinn Emanuel
3 Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for Defendant Google LLC (“Google”) in this action. I make
4 this declaration of my own personal, firsthand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I
5 could and would testify competently thereto.

6 2. I am making this declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)-(f) as an attorney
7 for Google as the Designating Party, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) in response to Dkt.
8 487.

9 3. On March 21, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a Supplement in Support of Their Motion for
10 Order Requiring Google to Show Cause Why It Should Not Be Sanctioned For Discovery
11 Misconduct (Dkt. 510). On March 21, 2022, I received an unredacted service copy of these
12 documents.

13 4. I have reviewed the documents that Plaintiffs seek to file under seal pursuant to Civil
14 Local Rule 79-5. Based on my review, there is good cause to seal the following information:

Document	Basis for Sealing
Plaintiffs’ Administrative Motion to File Supplement in Support of Their Motion for Order For Google To Show Cause for Why It Should Not Be Sanctioned for Discovery Misconduct at: 1:6, 1:8-13, 1:21, 1:24-2:1, 2:5-6, 2:8-10, 3:5-6, 3:12, 3:14, 3:16, 4:6, 4:8, 4:10-11, 4:13, 4:17, 4:19, 4:24-26, 5:1, 5:3-5, 5:10, 5:12, 5:25-26	The information requested to be sealed contains Google’s highly confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google’s internal systems and operations, including various types of Google’s internal project, data signals, and logs and their proprietary functionalities, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google’s competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google’s internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. See Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google’s competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google’s internal practices relating to competing products.
Declaration of Mark C. Mao in Support of Plaintiffs’	The information requested to be sealed contains Google’s highly confidential and proprietary information regarding

<p>Administrative Motion to File Supplement in Support of Their Request for Order to Show Cause Motion at:</p> <p>1:15, 1:18, 1:20-24, 2:1, 2:13, 2:23, 3:2-3, 3:17, 3:22, 3:27, 4:2-5, 4:9-11, 4:13-15, 4:17, 4:21</p>	<p>highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations, including various types of Google's internal project, data signals and logs and their proprietary functionalities, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google's competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. See Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google's competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google's internal practices relating to competing products.</p>
<p>Mao Decl., Exhibit 1 (GOOG-BRWN-00536949) at:</p> <p>Entirety</p>	<p>The information requested to be sealed contains Google's highly confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations, including various types of Google's internal projects, data signals and logs and their proprietary functionalities, as well as internal metrics, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google's competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. See Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google's competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google's internal practices relating to competing products.</p>
<p>Mao Decl., Exhibit 2 at:</p> <p>Entirety</p>	<p>The information requested to be sealed contains Google's highly confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations, including various types of Google's internal project, data signals, and logs and their proprietary functionalities, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known</p>

	to the public or Google's competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. See Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google's competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google's internal practices relating to competing products.
<p>Mao Decl., Exhibit 3 (Excerpts of the Deposition Transcript of Dr. Caitlin Sadowski) at:</p> <p>18:23-24, 19:6-7, 19:10, 22:2-3, 23:8-9, 23:21-22, 24:2, 24:8-9, 64:11-12, 65:1, 66:15-20, 67:25-68:1, 68:6-8, 68:17-19, 68:22-23, 69:5-6, 69:8-10, 69:15-16, 69:25-70:1, 70:3, 70:5-6, 70:18, 70:21, 70:23, 71:8-72:2, 72:20-25, 73:5-6, 73:14-15, 73:17-22, 74:1-3, 74:6-8, 74:10, 74:17-18, 74:25, 75:1, 75:17-20, 75:24-25, 76:1-2, 76:13-14, 76:24-25, 77:20-23, 78:3-4, 78:13-14, 80:8-9, 80:13, 80:16, 80:24, 81:3-5, 81:7, 81:12-14, 82:6-8, 82:10, 82:12, 82:23, 83:21-22, 84:7-10, 84:13-14, 85:3, 85:11, 85:15, 86:18, 86:25, 87:4, 87:10, 87:15-17, 87:23, 88:4, 88:10, 89:15-22, 89:24, 90:10-11, 91:2-4, 91:8, 91:11-13, 91:17, 92:11</p>	The information requested to be sealed contains Google's highly confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations, including various types of Google's internal projects, data signals and logs and their proprietary functionalities, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google's competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. See Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google's competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google's internal practices relating to competing products.
<p>Mao Decl., Exhibit 4 (Excerpts of the Deposition Transcript of Mandy Liu) at:</p> <p>14:18, 14:22, 14:24-25, 15:4, 15:7, 15:10-12, 15:14-15, 15:18, 15:25, 18:1, 18:3-4, 18:14, 18:18-20, 18:24, 19:3, 19:8, 19:11,</p>	The information requested to be sealed contains Google's highly confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations, including various types of Google's internal projects, data signals and logs and their proprietary functionalities, as well as internal metrics, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or

1 19:16, 19:22, 19:25, 20:1, 21:11-
 2 12, 39:1-2, 39:8, 39:10, 40:5-6,
 3 40:9, 40:11-12, 40:16-17, 41:7,
 4 41:10, 41:21, 42:2, 42:8, 42:11,
 5 42:16, 43:1, 43:15

Google's competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. See Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google's competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google's internal practices relating to competing products.

10 5. Google's request is narrowly tailored in order to protect its confidential information.
 11 These redactions are limited in scope and volume. Because the proposed redactions are narrowly
 12 tailored and limited to portions containing Google's highly-confidential or confidential information,
 13 Google requests that the portions of the aforementioned documents be redacted from any public
 14 version of those documents.

15 6. Google does not seek to redact or file under seal any of the remaining portions of
 16 Plaintiffs' Supplemental Motion not indicated in the table above.

18 I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true
 19 and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California on March 28, 2022.

21 DATED: March 28, 2022

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
 SULLIVAN, LLP

24 By /s/ Jonathan Tse

Jonathan Tse

Attorney for Defendant